UTI/SAN/1#6

MEDICAL.

No.

(Sanitation)

1920.

C.S.

Colonial Surgeon.

SUBJECT.

730

1920

27th Sept:

Previous Paper.

Reports on the insanitary condition of drain in John Street.

MINUTES.

H. E.

775/20

(1)

Submitted.

bot. Ingineer to report on this alleged missauce without delay. I wish in 3 Williams J. 28. 9.20

The Hon: Colonial Secretary.

Report submitted on separate sheet.

29/9/20.

H. Submitted.
Garling.
for. C8.
30/9/20

Subsequent Paper.

Hon: Colonial Surgeon,

You spoke to me today regarding certain drains in Stanley and the present epidemic. Can you conveniently say whether any steps have been taken in regard to the state of the drain in John Street mentioned herein?

A. C. S.30/5/21.

Hon. Col. Secretary,

In my minute 10/11/20, C.S. 838/20, I took upon myself the responsibility as President of the Board of Health to point out that the aitches were not properly cleaned.

2. No action has as far as I am aware been taken to carry out my recommendations, my hands are therefore tied.

Col. Surgeon, 31/5/21.

H.E. the Governor,

Submitted.

- 2. C.S.838/20, to which reference has been made above, is attached.
- 3. I am inclined to think that by providing the so called Ditchman with an iron barrow in which to place the filth removed from the open drains, it may have been possible to have met the wishes of the Health Officer (Colonial Surgeon) in the matter. C.S.775/20 also attached.

A. C. S.31/5/21.

HOS

the source scheme for the confusioner of the source condition of stancy the position howard is by no means clear. Orderiances 5/1944 or 5/1902 appear to timpone obligations on owners + occupies which are not carried out.

5 0/ 1902

2. a Board of Health was soluthished by ord s/1894

Sheet No. 2

(1) To inspect through Inglueth of Suisances
(2) To take proceeding against defaulta.
(3) A cultur work to about ruisances

1/16 Eva sum to han no Executin fusion
Execution of (3) about.

3. R. Bond has from to much sylaws

Under See & of advisance

R. Sylaws which I have but all to trace

are

Got notice 42/1912. Sanitation of new building

- 43/1912 Construction of his building

- 92/1914 Inspection of Bakehum of

Butcher ship

4. Hun any other bylaws him had a string hardly have any bylaws him had for any bylaws him had for all white of his spectra of huisanes when the see 18 sub see iii relation to cleaning of water course drain ditches shut have rines of water course drain

of Ilikuis matter Muspin.

5. The new ones with which the Board of Healt is sufremend to ded an defined in See & of all S/1894. 6. I afour Mont by inference the Golf is hespurithe & the maintenance of Shulf rond, direke + guller allknight I carrier find this in the hours. 7. In addition to the offenes in das g 1894 allrein sanitary offenes are made principal. by Summary hais diction allenance No S/1902. This law Iraks to Specific represe to Board of Health. 8. Who is official who untirute proceeding Unde Ad 5/1902 Scellin 49 sub-scelins (9)(h) +(i) a 50 - - (b)+(c) - 52 - - (a) (b) (c) (d) 9. altrilia is Expecially miriled to See 49 sub see (i) under which ohner a occupier is responsible for cleaning of Julie forthaths drawns + water culise adjoining his premise. (b) See 52 Sub: See (e) with respect to

hullie sond under which it is an offene

matte to sun from his furnise on any fuelle drain!

10. Some of This ones which from subject of corns fundamen in the paper 1 745/20 - 838/20 appear to be made which owners or occupiers are responsible for remedying Fresh Government.

(Not More done of Brazil of the Colonial Surgern (Not More done of Brazil of the Colonial Surgern (Note and the printing frieduling from the reprint to along) of will action one or measure which are practicable for the trispursment of the Saritary condition of Straly without incurring heavy capital Replanditure. It is undescraped that receiving laws should be amended of the can be averded. It Bone of Healt of the Gern andrew topten to the Simpleon Sanitary condition of the Sanitary

18 Sur 421.

Hon: Colonial Surgeon,

For the favour of our report.

1. 0. 8. 20/8/21.

Hom: Coloniel Becretary,

In reply to the Ord par: of His Excellency's minute, I beg to submit the following list of Eye-Laws that have been fremed under The Public Health Ordinance, 1894, vis:-

Framed under Ordinance No. 5 of 1394, and published on page 582 of Suptember, 1894 Gazetta.

Inilding Bye-Laws: under Section 13 of the Ordinance.

Tage 86 of June 1908 Gazette.

Page 155 of December 1910 Gesette. Page 125 of August 1911 Gesette. Page 6 of January 1910 Gesette.

Page 58 of April 1913 Gazette.

Regarding Contagious or Infectious Discase: Under sec: 12 (v) of the Ordinance.

Page 6 of January 1912 Carette.

Tage 97 of June 1915 Gasstte.

Page 119 of April 1914 Camette.

Bakkans Burker ships.

Regarding the disposal of night-soil:

lage 78 of June 1909 Gasothe.

Page 121 of Octobor 1900 Gasette.

Page 102 of Soptember 1909 dezette.

Regarding Ashpits: under Section 19 of the Ordinance.

Page 77 of June 1909 Gazette.

Page 157 of July 1912 Gazette.

Relating to the sale of milk in Stanley: under Section 19 of the Ordinance.

Page 80 of April 1912 Gazette.

Recommendations to Builders.

Page 95 of July 1908 Gazette.

2. In reply to par: 4, I beg to report (a)

Sheet No. 4

that the following Bye-Laws have been made by the Board under Sec: 9 of the Ordinance, regulating the duties of the Inspector of Mulsances, i.e:-

Clauses 5, 12, 14, & 15 of the Dye-laws published on page 382 of September, 1894 Gazette and Motice 77 on page 87 of June 1908 Gazette, and

(b) that the following Bye-Laws have been made under Section 18 (iii) relative to cleaning of water courses, drains, ditches and roads, and the removal of noxious matter therefrom, i.e:-

Clauses 3, 4, & 10 of the Dye-Laws published on page 382 of September 1894 Gazette.

- 5. I would venture to point out that Clause 1 of the Eye-Laws published on page 382 of September 1884 Gazette require# that "before any new street in the Town of Stanley shall be commenced, its proposed width, manner of construction, drainage and severage, shall be submitted to and approved by the Foard.
- 4. With regard to par: 6, it seems to me that in as much as a certain sum of money is provided annually on the Estimates under 'Public Works Recurrent' from the public funds, for the upkeep and maintenance of Roads, Drains, &c., that it may be said that Government is responsible and not the Tublic.
- 5. The condition of the roads and gutters of Stanley requires attention, but enfortunately for this Colony, sanitation does not appear to play the same part as it does in many other places, if the absence of sickness is to be taken as an index.
- 6. To me the sanitary laws of this Colony would seem to be quite clear, and I understand that the Common Law of England can be applied; but I questio whether a conviction would result if due regard be given/

given to Section 15 of Ordinance No. 4 of 1901.

- 7. Prosecutions are carried out in the name of the President of the Board of Health, but I entertain serious doubts of any success if evidence such as is expressed in Par: 2 of the Colonial Engineer's letter of the S9th of September, 1920 is brought in, which says:-
 - "In the first place I wish to state that I am not responsible for the construction of this particular drain, or of any other of the main cross drains in Stanley, all of which are insanitary through faulty construction"
- 8. The amount expended on Roads in Stanley during the Tear 1920 was £1061 15/8 and the results do not appear to be noticeable.
- 9. I would recommend that the practice of emptying slop water (wrine &c) into open street gutters should cease, and that each house should its slop water dealt with by the Sanitary Department.
- in sections, commencing say with the top road and drains and all the roads and drains dealt with from above downwards, year by year the results would be more noticeable than they are at present.
- Government Board and any recommendations from it should not be taken as a personal attack on any Government Officer, which I regret to say have occurred in the past. The majority of the members of the Board are residents, and the Board's expression of opinion or any recommendation.

 from it, is bound to be the Wish of the populus.
- 12. The Board of Health should have some executive power, and should work as a Government body for the public weal.

Colonial Surgeon. 28th June, 1921. Kelvin House

Stanley F.I.

26th. Septr. 1920.

Sir,

I beg to bring before your notice the portion of the public drain in John Street in front of Kelvin Store premises.

to run up-hill, hence the accumulation of filth to at least three to six inches before anything drains away. On any moderately warm day this stagnant deposit throws out an odour that is not altogether pleasant. Occasionally this filth is disturbed (or as they say cleaned out) and thrown on the bank at the entrance of drain where it remains until the cart makes its regular fortnightly rounds. At the end of which time it has mostly all blown away.

I have previously drawn the attention of the inspector to this matter but nothing happens.

Hoping you will give this your attention.

I am Sir.

Yours Truly.

The President

Board of Health.

Stanley.

27.9.20

Sir

I have the hencur to forward herewith a letter from Mr.Duncan.R.Watson complaining about the insanitary condition of the drain in John Street.

I have seen this drain, trench, gutter or whatever other name the Officer responsible for its construction and keeping in order, may care to apply to it, and quite agree with Mr.Watson that it is in a most filthy and insanitary condition.

I should recommend that the place be treated with some strong disinfectant and the offensive matter taken away in one of the sanitary carts, and not left as it is at present to be an insanitary nuisance, and a danger to the health of the public. I will be much obliged if His Excellency would give an order for this to be dealt with as I have suggested.

I have the henour to be Sir

Your obedient Servant

The Hen The Celonial Secretary

Celenial Surgeen

Report on the condition of drain in John Street.

The Hon: Colonial Secretary.

I beg to submit the following report on the condition of the drain in John Street.

- 2. In the first place I wish to state that I am not responsible for the construction of this particular drain, or of any other of the main cross drains in Stanley, all of which are insanitary through faulty construction.
- not enough fall; that the mouth has not sufficient take off to the down drain; and that it has no adequate water flush practically none whatever when there is a drought.
- 4. The only way to make this drain sanitary is to lay pipes to carry off the service water from the adjoining houses, to cover in the ditch and construct a gutter to run off the surface water.
- Intil this alteration is made at this particular place, in front of the Kelvin Store, the dirt is bound to accumulate there and be the cause of an insanitary nuisance.
- 5. Mr. D. Watson states that he has drawn the attention of the Inspector to this matter, T beg to point out that the Inspector has not reported this to me.
- 3. The clearings from this drain have been removed and disinfectant will be put down.
- 7. In conclusion I would state that there are several other service drains in the lownship which should be rectified in the same way as the above. If this very necessary work is to be carried out then pipes will have to be procured from the United Kingdom.

RSBaseley. C.E. 29/9/20.