

7/11/92

for  
His Excellency

The Governor of Falklands

Honourable Sir

I beg by this to place before you a grievance to which I have been subjected and which to the interest of the shipping interests in general coming to this port I think should be rigidly inquired into. and that is, that offers are made by the company's servants of employment to the crews while they are still abiding by the ship and on the ships articles, and also offering them higher wages than they are at present earning.

My own case is as follows The "Belia" which I at present command entered this port on the 20<sup>th</sup> ultimo when three seamen made application to see a doctor. as soon as weather permitted I took them before Doctor Hamilton who examined each and prescribed for them and told them to return to their respective duties. I therefore sent them down to the boat and two of them went on board namely G Lewis & G Wheeler but on arrival on board still persisted in refusal of duty. The other Thoms Kelly did not go on board and I did not see him till Monday when he asked me to pay him off and that he would refund ballance of advance given him at

Point and as he had not been one of the brightest specimens of a British tar I acceded to his request and when settled with before the Shipping master he told me the money he refunded was out of the advance he had received from the Company on the 25<sup>th</sup>. The two men who had been to the doctor having continued off duty and still refusing I issued a summons against them and they appeared with me on the 26<sup>th</sup> when the judge after hearing both sides acquitted the seamen and mulct'd one with costs, these men returned to ship and still refused duty and continue so to this day off duty one G Lewis still complaining of inability to do his work also informing me he had a situation open for him with the company in the camp and I believe your Highness holds in your possession a letter from Mr Ballion to something like that effect and my opinion is that if he is able to work for one his is likewise able to do so for the other.

The other G Wheeler who has also continued to refuse duty up to date tells me that Mr Ballion spoke to him offering him employment in camp when he got clear of his vessel, now this young man is a promising seaman and I have before this told him that at the finality of voyage I would represent his case to my owners as deserving more money than he engaged for. yet with all this I find the offers made on shore lead him to be antagonistic to any thing I propose I may

tion that he only engaged as Ad. on board  
at the rate of £2.00 a month and that the trumpet  
is rather too strong for him whereas it was my  
intention as I have already stated to get him a  
rise for this voyage and also to give him an  
arr<sup>d</sup> discharge which if he had conducted himself  
I should have given him

Your Highness will I presume see by the above  
the difficulty I have been placed in and in  
writing to you thus I myself believe I am <sup>not</sup> only  
acting in my own defence but also for the interest  
of others that may come afterwards to this  
post and trusting you will kindly assist  
me in getting clear of this post, I give Honour  
by remaining

Yours Humble Servant

John D. Quance  
Master  
Schooner "Delia"

P.S. 4<sup>th</sup> November

Since writing this yesterday, Mr Wheeler this morning resumed  
his duties

J.D.Q.



Extract from a letter from the Falkland Islands Company to the Colonial Office dated 18 December 1892.

I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 11th instant together with an extract from Lord Knutsford's despatch to the Governor of the Falkland Islands dated the 26th of September last, upon which I trust I may be allowed to make some observations.

The portion of the despatch most vitally affecting the interests of the land holders is contained in par. 6, to which I respectfully beg leave to direct his Lordship's most careful attention.

I venture to assert that the persons against whom that paragraph is directed have absolutely no existence. There is no one who has, through his own mistake, assumed a more extended boundary than what he is entitled to under his lease, as delineated on the Official Chart of Record by the Surveyor General of the Colony. It is quite possible that the Governor has hastily assumed, and communicated his assumption to Lord Knutsford, that the earlier settlers had rough and ready boundaries assigned to them under their leases, and that they forthwith settled on the unoccupied waste lands, appropriating to themselves more space than their leases warranted. But the fact is, and it cannot be emphasized too strongly, as it is the key to the whole question, that the settlers held their lands not only under their leases, but that their stations were

defined by Ordinance No 4 of 1871 "with reference to the Nautical Chart of the Colony", and that in approaching the question whether they, through their own mistake, appropriated too much land, the leases and the chart must be studied together. If you have at the Colonial Office a copy of the official Chart of Record, you will observe that the whole area of the East and West Islands is marked out in sections and blocks, which fit into one another like the pieces of a puzzle, and the leases will show that the boundaries are defined, not only as so many miles in a certain direction, but also specially with reference to the neighbouring blocks or sections. To illustrate my meaning further by actual facts, I will ask your attention to the Company's sections running up from Choiseul Sound in a northerly direction. These boundaries are stated as so many miles, and it might hurriedly be assumed that if they exceeded their stated length the northern portion of the row of sections had been improperly occupied. But, to the north of these is another block, No 75, occupied by the Company, of which the northern boundary is described as running along the Wickham Heights, while the southern one consists of the Company's coast sections above mentioned. I ask, with the utmost confidence, whether it does not follow that, if the lower sections are shortened, the boundary of No 75 must necessarily be extended until it reaches them, since both by the leases and the chart they are placed contiguous to one another? And in this case,



which fairly illustrates the position of the whole of the leased land that is not marked by "point to point" boundaries, where, may I ask, is there room for the assertion that the Company have occupied too much land through their own mistake? Where one section, as is the case with all, is described as being bounded by another, it is impossible to contend that the occupier of either of them has erred in the manner alluded to in Lord Knutsford's despatch.

The reason why the boundaries in the East Falklands are, for the most part, described and marked as they are, while those on the West run to and from distinct land marks is a very simple one, but it may not have been explained. The pioneer settlers, who held their lands under the Proclamations of 1849 and 1861 were assigned sections of 10,000 and 6,000 acres respectively under those Proclamations, and for the purpose of laying out such small sections, there were, obviously but few natural marks available. By the time the West Falkland was settled, however, the Colonial Authorities had seen the folly of attempting to foster small farming in the Colony, and the settlers in that Island were allowed to select at once the blocks they still occupy, taking, for the most part, well defined landmarks as their boundaries. Thus, Lord Knutsford, in permitting the latter to retain all their land, while he proposes arbitrarily to take away a portion held by the others without allowing them even the option of retaining it on any terms, is drawing a distinction in favour of the



newest settlers to the disadvantage of those who first, in the face of great difficulties, started sheep farming, a proceeding that can hardly commend itself to his Lordship's sense of justice. In fact, I feel sure that he will, on consideration of the circumstances now brought to his notice, see the desirability of treating all the lessees, East and West, with an even hand.



Extract from a letter from the Falkland Islands Company to  
the Colonial Office, dated 7th January 1892.

-----

I have the honour to enclose for Lord Knutsford's further consideration, two more leases, one of Station No 31 on Choiseul Sound, in which the boundaries east and west are given as Nos 30 and 27 respectively, and one of the Wickham Heights section, now No 75, an examination of the southern boundary of which will be instructive. The first is typical of those where the boundary line is defined by specifying the distance from a stated point; and the second to which I alluded on page 3 of my letter of 18th December, proves the Company's right to the whole block from the Wickham Heights to the Sound.



Call 242

McComack

60

MINUTE PAPER

COLONIAL SECRETARY to

H. E. The Governor

As directed I send you Excellency  
the papers connected with the recent  
enquiries regarding Mr Comacks conduct  
towards Kwoing -

I can quite understand both the Gables  
showing feeling annoyed at receiving  
a caution as to their demeanour towards  
prisoners - in the presence of prisoners  
& I think it would be advisable for  
me to inform the Justices that when  
they consider it necessary to speak to  
officials that it must not be in  
the presence of prisoners.

R. C. J.

28.12.92

Cs. Certainly! so inform the Justices - but further  
I cannot find anything in the evidence to justify  
the Justices remarks towards Mullett - and the only thing  
that Kwoing did w<sup>ch</sup> was perhaps unwise was to make  
any comment upon Mr Comacks remarks. his wiser  
course would have been to march him back to jail  
& report his conduct -  
I however do not see anything in the evidence to

By the remarks to be more circumstantial  
and needful to provoke the prisoners -  
unnaturally, with what before me men?  
Left & hearing feel aggrieved

P. G.

28.12.92

Wrote to Brandon & Packe 5 Jan 1893.

Mr. Packe replies 11.1.93.

Mr. Brandon " 7.1.93

H. E. Thompson

Messrs Packe & Brandon replies for-  
warded.

P. G.  
es. 9.2.93

es.

As the remarks were not made in the presence  
of Mr. Coombes - (there was nothing broken the  
contrary) the gravamen of the complaint by Millett  
& Mering is done away with -

I should be glad to know why Mr. Packe's letter  
dated 11<sup>th</sup> Jan<sup>r</sup> was not submitted to me - as  
also that of Mr. Brandon - Mr. Brandon's letter  
would have satisfied me that a warning and not  
a censure had been employed - though I certainly note  
- that and fancy from your minute of 28.12.92 that such  
warning had been given in the presence of a prisoner -

The prison is not given to visiting justices to  
censure jail officials - this however quite competent  
them under Sec. 3 of the Jail regulations to make any  
remarks or suggestions - either I take it, as respect to the  
officers, gaolers or general discipline of the prison  
I wrote to Brandon & Mr. Packe 15.2.93

P. G. 9.2.93

Colonial Secretary & Vere Packer Esq.

61

C. O. Stanley,

5. 1. 93.

Cops.

h<sub>2</sub>3

Sir:

By the report of the warden  
as taken down and signed by  
as one of the visiting justices  
enquiring into the misconduct of  
the prisoner McCormack on the  
24<sup>th</sup> Decr last I would point  
out that, if to the justices there  
appear to be grounds of complaint  
regarding the conduct of the  
Warden or other official, your  
duty is merely to receive any  
statement made by a prisoner  
against anyone and to draw  
the attention of the authorities  
of the same by an entry signed  
by you in the official jail book.  
For the sake of securing the  
best discipline in the jail the  
course adopted by you of  
expressing your opinion of the

C. O. Stanley

et of the gaols and warder  
ently in the presence of the  
over is unadvisable

I have &c

P R M Rantlow

D

Dear Sir

2

MINUTE PAPER

COLONIAL SECRETARY to

H. E. The Governor

The matter understood from your Excellency had been personally explained to you by Mr. Braudon and the error of supposing as I did when I wrote my letter to the Justices that they had spoken to the Gaoler & Warden in the presence of the prisoner pointed out. The Gaoler & Warden both gave me to understand that they had been spoken to before the Court as my minute certainly shows. Believing that the whole circumstances had been satisfactorily explained to you verbally by Mr. Braudon I told Mr. Hill so when the letters from the Justices were received & told him they could be filed.

The powers mentioned in the last para of your minute of 9/2/93 are those possessed by the Justices but any amendment to be made in the official book as I pointed out in my letter to the above named Visiting Justices.

*Wm P. Es.* 10/2/93  
p. 10

C. S. For just Ex. Council

P. 3. 9 10. 2. 93

*[Faint, illegible handwriting]*

*[Faint, illegible handwriting]*

*[Extremely faint, illegible handwriting covering the majority of the page]*

Gasel Stanley<sup>63</sup>

23<sup>rd</sup> December 1892.

---

To the Honourable  
R. H. Roubledge  
Colonial Secretary

---

Sir,

Mr. G. Newing has reported to me, this day,  
that the prisoner Mr. Cormack this morning  
in the Dockyard used threatening language  
towards him while he was in charge of  
the three prisoners.

I have the honour to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

Gasel Stanley

Gasel.

The Very Revd. Henry Brandon  
& Vera Packer Esq.

J.P.

Be good enough to hold an  
enquiry into the within com-  
plaint tomorrow between  
the hours of 12 o'clock  
of convenient.

R. W. Packer

Decr 23<sup>rd</sup>  
1892



Dec 24<sup>th</sup> before the Very Revd Dean Maudon &  
V. Pack Justices of the Peace 64

Mr. Comack accused of using threatening language On hearing  
not guilty

Mr. Newing, We were on the jetty pushing up a truck of  
coal, there was an empty truck on the jetty, myself and  
prisoner Hallyday and Gavot were pushing the full truck  
up to the store, Mr. Comack was pushing up the empty  
truck, I said never mind that truck come and push  
the full one, he said I know what to do as well as  
you do, I said dont speak to me, he said Ill speak  
to you one of these days, I said will you, dont  
speak to me now, he says you bet I will, I stopped  
him then, and he said no more.

Prisoner, I was pushing the empty truck out of the  
store, to the wharf when the full one was standing  
and pushed it down to let the full one come up  
into the shed, and then the full one come down  
towards the empty one, Gavot the Prisoner attended  
to the wharf and I pushed forward the empty one  
up against the full one & kept on shoving, Newing  
says, dont shove that truck, I says I am not  
shoving it any farther than when it is required  
he snapped me up, come on he says & shove  
this one, I repeated the words to him, youll be  
shoved one of these days, he says will I. I says

yes, and said no more + went on about my work.

Prisoner Gault,

Question - You were attending to the switch when trucks were being pushed in to the shed - Yes. There were three full trucks when we first started, Mc Cormack was pushing the empty trucks out of the shed because we wanted to take it off the line,

What did Mr. Newing say. Never mind the empty truck come + show on the full one, I didn't take any notice of any thing more that was said.

Prisoner Halliday - Question - What did Newing say to the Prisoner, Ans. Leave the empty truck and show the full one, Mc Cormack then said he would show something but ~~he~~ can't say what he was going to show.

Did Mr. Newing make any reply to the Prisoner?  
Not that I heard.

Prisoner Mc Cormack - Requested to see the Judge as he had several reports to make against Mr. Newing -

• Prisoner cautioned not to answer back  
when spoken to by the Warder  
and the Garder and Warder to be more  
circumspect & not needlessly to provoke the  
prisoner.

Lowther E. Brauden

Per Packer

66  
Gael Stanley  
26<sup>th</sup> December 1892

His Excellency

Sir. R. S. Goldsworthy, K.C. M.P.

Governor

Sir.

As regards the matter in question I have  
been to see the Colonial Secretary with I.  
Waring and he the Colonial Secretary said  
that he could not interfere with the visiting  
Justices as regards the remarks that they  
made, but that he would come and see your  
Excellency tomorrow, Sunday the 27<sup>th</sup> instant.

I have the honour to be  
your Excellency  
your most obedient servant  
H. P. Hill  
Gardner.

The Parsonage  
Stanley

Jan 9. 1893

Sir

In reference to your letter No 4  
of the 5<sup>th</sup> instant I have the honor to  
report, that the prisoner had been removed  
to his cell by the Jailer, before I spoke to  
the latter and the Warden, regarding the  
need of circumspection in dealing with  
the prisoner - Mc Cormick.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

your obedient servant  
Lowther E. Brandon

The Hon.

The Genl. Secretary

to. to. to.

Reading 68  
Stanley  
Jan. 11. 1893.

Sir

I have to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 5<sup>th</sup> January regarding the course adopted by me as Visiting Justice.

Had I not already sent in my resignation as a Justice of the Peace I should have done so on receipt of your letter.

I have the honour to be

Sir

Your obedient Servant

Predrake

## MINUTE PAPER.

GOVERNOR to

*Col. Secretary.*

*I shall be glad to have a reply being made  
on the action of the visiting justices in this  
case. It is a considerable time since I mailed  
the papers.*

*Pr. 9 8. 2. 93*

MINUTE PAPER.

40

COLONIAL SECRETARY to

H. E. The Governor

The Closet in the gavel yard is in the most dilapidated state. The wind appears to have caught the roof & torn it away from the beams which are perfectly rotten. I fear none of the previous would be capable of repairing the present one or ~~building~~ building a new one were the latter considered the more advisable. Could someone be sent down from G. H. to put it together temporarily.

W. R. C. 29.9.92

*C. A. A new one had better be put up -  
I will see someone about it.*

*P. S. 29.9.92.*



71

MINUTE PAPER,

---

COLONIAL SECRETARY to H. E. The Governor

---

Following up our conversation about the conversion of the 'Clapperton's Cottage' into a guardroom I have looked at the building and as far as I can make out from an exterior view Munnis' house appears to me to have a wall of brick of its own & is not a lean-to on the stone wall of the cottage so that I do not think any rowdyism could be heard in Munnis' Cottage.

If Munnis' present house has not been taken I think the ~~understanding~~ <sup>knowledge</sup> of being taken on by Govt. at a fixed salary will in itself be sufficient to induce him not to raise any objections to an allotment in the terms if it be the same appear to your Excellency advisable for the carrying out of the allotment -

R. M. R.  
— Es. 27.8.92

I have seen the Chief Constable on the subject  
and looking to all the circumstances connected  
that until the new guard rooms & cells are  
provided ~~for~~, that the Pilot's quarters might  
be utilised for a guard room - and the  
large end cell set apart as a lock up Inmitt being  
appt<sup>d</sup> a constable for the purpose - so as not to necessitate  
another being detailed -

Have you seen about a stove for the printing office -  
The association ward being set free one will not  
be required for it as there is a fire place.

P.S. 30. 8. 92

72

Col. Secretary to H. E. The Governor

---

By section 2 of Ord 3 of 1881  
it will be necessary for Your  
Excellency by Order in Council  
to sanction Christ Church  
as a place for the publication  
of banns & celebration of  
marriages. Until this is  
done no marriage can be  
celebrated in the Church.

Richd. C.  
CJ

31. 3. 92

C. A. draw out necessary order  
which I will submit to the Council

P. J. G.  
31. 3. 92

Cañon del Condor  
 Punta Delgada  
 Straits of Magellan  
 April 8<sup>th</sup> 92

Dear Sir

Will you kindly inform  
 me if I can get my money that  
 is in your Bank transferred  
 to England as I am thinking of  
 going home if so will you kindly  
 inform me at where to draw  
 it or if you could send it to  
 the below address Joseph Lee  
 West Street Aldbourne Wiltshire  
 England as I do not want to come  
 to the Falklands if I can help it  
 on my way home if it cannot be  
 transferred what is the shortest time  
 I can draw it if I had to  
 come to the Falklands. Please  
 write by the return mail.

I am Sir yours Truly  
 Joseph Lee

Pued: 22. 6. 92.

74

Santos March 18. 1892  
To the Collector of Customs  
Faulkner Islands

Dear Sir

Knowing that oftentimes ships put into the  
Faulkner Islands I therefore take liberty to ask you  
if you know of any of ships Cargo of 950 to 11200  
tons that will be reshipped I have a fine vessel  
here the Barque St. Croix of London Nova Scotia  
launched in June 1890. Caulked all over in August  
last (1891) & yellow mottled up to 14x6. & just cleared for  
12 years at Bureau Veritas her register tonnage is  
652. I have had cargoes of Gypsum in her varying  
from 1230. to 1240. tons she was built expressly for the  
Gypsum trade & built extra strong as these cargoes are  
traded when the ship is a ground & water away from  
them. I expect to get away from Santos in about  
80 days from date should you know of any cargo  
please let me know giving me the outside price that could  
had per ton dead weight or measurement let me have  
all particulars of port expenses such as light dues  
pilots fees Customhouse dues & all incidental expenses  
you know of. I would prefer a cargo going to Europe  
but if in case a good one can be procured for the Pacific  
I would except but on condition that 1135 tons be the  
limit of dead weight. Address J. P. Wilson & Co  
Santos. Trusting to hear from you as soon as mails will  
permit I am yours very truly

M. Davison

Master Barque St. Croix

MINUTE PAPER,

COLONIAL SECRETARY to *H. E. The Governor*

I have arranged with Messrs Fraser & Harding to act as Justices in my absence from the Police Court. There is only one civil case depending here on the 7<sup>th</sup> of July by which time I hope to return -  
I have left a Commission for Mr. Fraser who has kindly consented to act as Coroner should any case arise.

*P.M.P.*  
C.S.  
25/6/92

*C.S.*

*Approved*

*C.S. 25-6-92*

COLONIAL TREASURER to *His Excellency*

I attach Mr. Brandon's letter as to Church Service during his absence — I believe the arrangement he has made has been carried out before

*Ch. Treasurer*

*C. G. F.*

*28. 6. 92.*

*I don't see any objection —*

*P. J. G. 28. 6. 92*

The Parsonage

Stanley

June 27. 92

Sir

I have the honour to report  
for the information of His Excellency  
that Mr Geo. Duran, who holds the  
Bishop's Licence for the purpose, will  
read prayer on Sunday next, should  
I not have returned from the West  
Indies

I have the honour to be

Sir,

your obedient servant

Howth E. Bracken

Colonial Chaplain

The Hon  
Charles Fraser  
X X X



MINUTE PAPER,

COLONIAL TREASURER to *His Excellency*

The Barge "Dennis Brundritt" wishes to  
clear & has paid her outward pilotage  
shall I offer terms to Ratcliffe to pilot  
her out - the difficulty is the pilots  
return -

Charles A. Fraser

30 - 6 - 92.

*Yes! - He must arrange for his return himself  
unless the weather during the "Victoria" could land  
the stores at the light-house when he could take  
charge of her, have the stores landed & return -*

*C.A.F. July 1<sup>st</sup> 1892.*

Smith living at  
present at Stanley  
for he is a  
Cousin of mine  
& I want to write  
to him on important  
business. Awaiting  
you to reply.

Believe me  
Yours very truly  
R. M. Sayer-Musgrave

The Postmaster  
Stanley Falkland Is.



April 22<sup>nd</sup> / 94

LEEDS AND COUNTY CONSERVATIVE CLUB,  
LEEDS.

England.

Dear Sir

I shall feel  
much obliged if  
you will kindly  
let me know

whether there is  
a man of the  
name of William

Ans & July 1894

COLONIAL OFFICE,  
DOWNING STREET,  
LONDON, S.W.

7<sup>th</sup> June 1892

SIR,

I beg to enclose the sheets of the Colonial Office List relating to the Colony under your administration for correction for the next edition.

I should be much obliged if you would kindly cause these to be thoroughly revised and brought up to date, as usual; and the chief statistics to be supplied on the enclosed form, for insertion in the general table. I should be glad to receive also a list of officers who have died or retired during the year, as well as any other corrections for the biographical part of the work.

The corrected sheets should be returned so as to reach me by the end of August next.

Thanking you in advance for your kind assistance.

I am,

DEAR SIR,

Your obedient Servant,

JOHN ANDERSON.

The Officer Administering  
the Government of  
the Falkland

*Returned corrected without covering letter  
by mail of 19 July 1892*

AGENT FOR LLOYD'S.



Falkland Islands Co.  
Stanley, August 10<sup>th</sup>, 1892.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge  
the receipt of your letter No 309 of this day's date,  
the contents of which are noted.

I have the honor to be,  
Sir,  
your obedient servant,  
André G. Baillon.  
Manager.

The Honble  
R. M. K. Routledge  
Colonial Secretary  
&c. &c. &c.

MINUTE PAPER,


---

 COLONIAL SECRETARY to H. E. The Governor
 

---

I think I informed you that Mr. Noble had left for Port-Stephens and would be some time away. He had left by the time you gave me instructions to settle his case. I will see to it whenever I hear of his return.

Rum.

Es. 30.9.92

Re Halliday

I will endeavour to let Mr. Excellency have the papers relating to this matter tomorrow.

Rum.

Es. 30/9/92

\* C.S. Some months have elapsed what has been done in respect to the case minute of 30.9.92.

Pr. Y. 8.2.93

H. E. The Governor.

Mr. Noble has never sent in the account in reply to my application thereon. I asked him some time ago to let me have it - he promised to do so but as yet it has not been received.

Rum. Es. 15.2.93

*Acta.*

*P. J. G.*

MINUTE TAKEN

*15. 2. 93.*

of the

MINUTE PAPER.

COLONIAL SECRETARY to H. E. The Governor

U. coal ex Ruth Waldbrow

I paid the price paid to the F. J. Co. last year was £3 p. ton for 20 tons less 5% discount. Coulson says this was delivered free of cost - at that price the price quoted by Mr. Felton is very nearly the same figure so that 25 tons will be a fair division I suppose

Ruth  
C.S. 19.11.92

C.S. If Mr. Felton can let me have 60 tons <sup>at 50/- per ton</sup> I will take them - or 25 tons at his price - 53/- + the rest from the F. J. Co. as the difference is not <sup>excessive</sup> when they receive their supply  
19.12.92

H. E. The Governor.  
So many are in need of coal in the Settlement  
Mr. F. is unable to gain the 60 tons at 50/-  
so I have ordered the 25 tons at 53/-

See 477 of 19.12.92

Ruth  
C.S. 19.11.92

C.S. As Mr. Felton cannot spare  
60. will he let us have 50 tons at  
50/-? P.S.G. 20.12.92

H. E. The Governor.

Yes Mr. F. informs me he will provided  
two men are supplied to help with  
the discharging

P.S.G.

C.S.

20.12.92

C.S. Certainly - two men may be  
employed inside the store -

P.S.G.

20.12.92.

50 tons @ 50/-

Mr. Felton

Informed verbally that the Cart will take  
50 tons at 50/- per ton.

C. St. John

20.12.92.



MINUTE PAPER.

COLONIAL SECRETARY to H. E. The Governor

I have seen Mr. Felton regarding the  
Coal on the Ruth Waldron. He is  
prepared to let the Government have up  
to 50 tons @ 55/- per ton delivered in  
the Govt Store - on condition that  
the Ruth Waldron is permitted to  
come alongside Govt. jetty to dis-  
charge her cargo & that the <sup>use of the</sup> brawway  
is given -

16.11.92

inquire price last year -

beds separate - in mind - quoting same

1911/92



Rec<sup>d</sup> 23<sup>rd</sup> 85

Cape Pembroke St. House  
November 22<sup>nd</sup> 1892

Sir

I beg to inform you that I have just heard from James Hocking that his father is very ill so he cannot return to the St. House at present, asking if I need any assistance I beg to say that I can do without any for a few days

I have the honour to be  
Sir

Your most obedient servant,

Hon R M Routledge  
Colonial Secretary

G M Broom  
Principal Keeper

MINUTE PAPER.

COLONIAL SECRETARY to

H. E. The Governor

As directed I send the Gal book to  
Your Excellency - The Colonial Chaplain's  
entry in the book & his statement  
there are quite in accord and I  
consider his remarks are quite in  
order for if a complaint is made  
by any person he is obliged as a  
Visiting Justice to note it -

The remarks of the Col. Surgeon fol-  
lowing those of the Chaplain I am  
sure you will agree with me are quite  
uncalled for & such if allowed to  
pass unnoticed can only tend to  
bring into disrespect amongst the  
officials the status of the Visiting  
Justices. I would surely have been  
sufficient for him as Medical Advisor  
to have stated whether he considered the  
state of the Surgeon's <sup>health</sup> such as to necessitate  
the fire asked for. I would advise that the  
Col. Surgeon be written to informing him  
that such is the extent of his duties while  
not on the rota of Visiting Justices

P. L. O.

P. L. O.  
9.12.92

C. I. I have drafted a letter for you to  
send to the Col. Douglas.

P.S. 9 10.12.92

wrote Charles 467. 10/12/92

*Draft of letter to [unclear] - vide minutes (1/12/92)*

*Li.*

I am directed by H.C. to draw your attention to yr. minute of 3.12.92 in the Jail visitors' Book - it was evidently written under some misapprehension, as to the tenor of Deane Braudon's remark w<sup>ch</sup> were entirely within the scope of his powers as visiting Justice - ~~and~~ H.C. cannot see that there was anything "out of place" in the visiting Justice stating the nature of communication made to him by ~~the~~ the prisoners and w<sup>ch</sup> he very properly considered was more for the ~~Colonial Surge~~ Med. Officer of the jail to deal with than he ~~was~~.

H.C. feels sure that you as a ~~representative~~ representative of the C.J. minute that you will concur in the view he

taken of this <sup>matter</sup> ~~subject~~.

*(C. J. Secretary)*

MINUTE PAPER,

COLONIAL SECRETARY to *H. E. The Governor*

*Attached is copy of the report  
by Surveyors on Colonial Chest.  
Read this afternoon by your  
Excellency's direction*

*R. R.  
C. S.  
16/12/92*

*Seen.*

*P. A.*

*P. S. Y. 20.12.92*

*Sent to CO in HC 139 of 17/12/92*

MINUTE PAPER.

89

---

COLONIAL SECRETARY to H. E. The Governor

---

May I grant the request of the School  
Inspector & Master that the Christmas  
holidays may commence from today  
instead of tomorrow  
As the examinations are completed.

R. W. P.

C.S.

22.12.92

G.M.

R.S.G.

22.12.92

The Narsooap  
 Stanley  
 Dec. 22. 1892

Sir

I have the honour to report  
 That the quarterly examination of the  
 Government Senior School was completed by  
 me yesterday afternoon.

That as the Board wished to meet Mr  
 Aspinall at the Jetty, I gave Mr Swore  
 permission to close the school this forenoon.

That I respectfully ask His Excellency's per-  
 mission to close the Senior School for the  
 holidays today, tomorrow is the appointed day,  
 but the examinations being over, most of the  
 children are absent, some gone home to the camp,  
 & . & . &

I have the honour to be  
 your obedient servant  
 Louis the E. Braudo  
 Government School Inspector

The Hon.  
 The Colonial Secretary  
 & & &



Ecclesiastical
No.

Rev E. C. Aspinall

(SUBJECT.)

1892

Dec. 23

Previous Papers.

Intimates return to the Colony  
on expiration of leave of absence

(MINUTES.)

H. E. Melbourne

Submitted

Rev. R.

CS 23.12.92

A.

See - for the as returning to duty

P.S.G.

23.12.92

Despatch no of 22 December, 1892 to Sops.

Registered 29 Dec '92

Subsequent Papers.

The Parsonage  
Stanley  
Dec. 22<sup>nd</sup> 1892.

Sir,

I have the honor to  
report my arrival in  
this Colony on the  
expiration of my leave  
of absence in England.

I have the honor  
to be,

Your obedient servant,

Edmund Arpsall

The Hon  
R. M. Routledge,  
Colonial Secretary.

---

*Copy*

*Defuncter  
two children  
Richard John*

I, the undersigned, hereby give you notice and require you to have *Clifton* vaccinated within three months after the birth, pursuant to the provisions of the Vaccination Ordinance, No. 1 of 1868.

As witness my hand this *24* day of *September* 189*1*

*Mr. Henry Clifton  
Stanley*

*Charles A. Fraser*  
Registrar.

*93*

The Kantele  
The Col. Surgeon  
Stanley

Wednesday 23<sup>rd</sup> 1851

My dear Sanguinetti,

I am awfully sorry to have you on bail day.

I should be most greatly obliged if you would do

the favour of letting me have £200 more in gold, as the bank

of cheques on the 10<sup>th</sup> pro refuses to take 1000.

A thousand pardons - but what am I to do?

Faithfully  
Y. S. Sanguinetti Esq. A. R. M. M. L.

*Mr. Sanguinetti has now*  
*more in gold*  
*in the bank*  
*Mr. Sanguinetti has now*  
*more in gold*  
*in the bank*

I have read the attached letter as directed and it seems to me that any one offering an appointment to a seaman or apprentice on board a ship would under the Merchant Shipping Act render himself liable to a penalty which may extend to £10. for each case. vide para. 257 as to entering to desert, thus the matter is in the Captain's hands.

Charles. A. Fraser  
Collector of Customs

8. 11. 92.

*Pris. Lec? Write informing Capt. Duane that*

257?

*the Merchant Shipping Act 17. & 18 Vic. c. 104*

*which provides for offences of the nature referred to in his letter of the 3<sup>rd</sup> instant - the remedy is in his own hands therefore - and that regret the delay in replying to his letter*

*9. 11. 92*

No 9

You desired by Mr to ack the recd of  
y letter the 3<sup>rd</sup> inst and to inform  
you that the question therein raised  
is provided for by the In Shipper  
Act 17 & 18 Vic (257, the  
remedy therefore is in your

own hands

I regret that there  
shd have been a  
delay in reply  
to letter

Yr  
CWA

Copyright 1871-1891

1893



MINUTE PAPER

COLONIAL TREASURER to

His Excellency,

I attach an application from the very Rev. the Dean for permission to hold a meeting of the Burial Board in the Court House at 8. p.m. on Friday next. I believe the School-house is unsuitable for a meeting so late as 8. p.m. as there is no lamp there, so probably the Court House is the best place

3.1.93.

C. T. Fraser  
In Lt. Sec.

C. T.

This matter had better be left till the return of the Col. Sec. who as legal adviser will be able to state -

- 1 - In whom is vested the power to summons the newly constituted Board (Vide para no 3 below)
- 2 - Whether <sup>the conditions contained in</sup> sec 8. of Ord. 6 of 1888. have been complied with and if not what steps should be taken (in view of the old Board having ceased to exist) <sup>by</sup> the newly constituted Board under Ord. 9 of 1892 in respect to records etc may be in hand -
3. There not being present any Chairman. who I suppose will be elected by the members, it appears to me that under sec 5 of Ord. 6 of 1888. Dean Hudson and another <sup>or any two members</sup> can alone summons a meeting. Rutledge was advise on this point.

4. - Would it not be advisable  
before hand? over from one Bd. to the other  
that the Gov: in Council should by the power  
vested in him in Sec 8 call for the statement  
of the Board had been sent in early in Dec:  
together with all Books for inspection & that  
would avoid all <sup>and disputes</sup> discussion hereafter -  
Pending the legal adviser's opinion on these  
points - it were better that the Board should  
not meet -

Respectfully,  
~~Yours truly~~

3. 12. 92

His Excellency,

I have replied to the very Rev: the Deans  
application as follows: — "Sir, In reply  
to your application for a meeting of the Burial  
Board at the Court House on Friday next,  
I am instructed to inform you that His Excellency  
rules, with regard to recent legislation, that it is  
inexpedient to hold a meeting of such a board  
until the opinion of the proper legal adviser of this  
Colony may have been taken, as to the powers  
& Constitution of the Board, I have &c C. A. Fraser  
Jr. Col Sec

C. A. Fraser

3. 1. 92

C. A. Quite meets the case

Page 4. 1. 92

H. E. The Governor

The Meeting proposed to be called by the Dean is the ordinary monthly meeting the plan to adopt will be to permit such to be held and the old Board to go through the formality of retiring in favor of the ~~of~~ newly constituted board handing in a statement of the accounts which I should advise ought to be made up to the end of the year instead of 30 Sep as required by the Ordinance. This statement ought to be first submitted to the excellent in Council. A special meeting ought to be immediately summoned for the purpose of taking over the case & electing a Chairman and this can be done by the required length of notice being given to the Members at the time of calling the ordinary meeting. I know no reason why the Court House may not be given as requested.

R. M. C.  
Es.

6/1/93

9.  
The accounts ought certainly to be made up ~~and~~ properly handed over - and I been informed that the Board were supposed to hand in a statement of accounts on the 15<sup>th</sup> Dec. I should not have given my assent to the ~~and~~ Ord<sup>e</sup> on the 12<sup>th</sup> - or in other words have "changed horses when crossing the stream." We are now landed in a difficulty. Mr. Brandon ceased to be chairman on the 12<sup>th</sup> in fact on that date the old Board became defunct - of the new Board there is not a Chairman. therefore only two members of the 12/16 Board can summon a meeting - There is no question of "retiring" the old Board has I intend to be

The rest of yr. minute I agree with you -  
Who ever attached this minute paper, clearly had  
accounts running in his head - The use of  
Cant House approved as you see no objection  
P.S. 6.1.93

The Parsonage  
 Stanley  
 July 3. 1893

Sir

I have the honour to ask His  
 Excellency's permission to call a meeting of  
 the Burial Board in the Court house on  
 next Friday evening at 8 o'clock.

I have the honour to be  
 Sir,

your obedient servant  
 Rowther E. Braiden

The Hon.

C. A. Fraser

to to to

MINUTE PAPER.

4

COLONIAL SECRETARY to

H. C. The Governor

The Dean asks for use of old forms which belonged to the School for church purposes while 'Ship of War' is in Harbour

Also. *Yes!*

For leave to visit Lafouca for 3 or 4 weeks. Revd. E. C. Aspinall remaining in charge. *Approved By S. G. 12.11.93*

I presume Your Excellency will grant both requests. *Rev. R. C. 12.1.93*

Sent Minute - Waboune effect to the Dean on 13.1.93

The Parsonage  
Stanley

Jan 7 12. 1893

Sir

I have the honour to ask His  
Excellency's permission to borrow the old  
forms belonging to the Senior School for use  
in bluish boards, while the men-of-war  
are here. When not in use we shall keep  
them in the Vestry, where they will be quite  
safe. Please send your reply to the Sexton, as  
I may be absent from Stanley.

I have the honour to be

Sir,

your obedient servant  
Howden E. Beaman

The Hon.

R. M. Routledge

to

Approved

P. J. G. 12.1.93

The Parsonage  
Stanley

Jan 9. 1843

Sir

I have the honour to ask His  
Excellency's permission to visit Lafonia &c.  
next week. I purpose remaining away  
between three and four weeks. The Rev. E.  
C. Apriall will supply my place in  
Stanley during my absence.

I have the honour to be  
Sir,

your obedient servant  
Rowland E. Beauda

The Hon.

The Col. Secretary  
to to to



MINUTE PAPER. 1

4

COLONIAL SECRETARY to

H. E. The Governor

Forwarded -

Recd. 18.1.93

C.S.

Approved

P.S.G. 18.1.93

Printed G.S. as above

Recd. 19.1.93

Government Schools  
January 17<sup>th</sup> 1893

Sir

Arrangements are being made to hold the annual prize shooting of the Stanley Rifle club on Thursday next or the earliest day possible after that.

I have the honour most respectfully to enquire if I may leave the school in charge of Miss King for the day.

*In His Excellency's decision*  
*Committee E. Braucher*  
*Jan 17, 1893*

I have the honour to be  
Sir  
Yours most obedient servant  
F. Surme

Government Schoolmaster

The Very Rev.  
Dean Brandon  
Govt School Inspector  
1. 6. 6

Jan 23<sup>rd</sup> 93

Requisition for the undermentioned Stationery required for the use  
of the *Ecclesiastical* Department.

Number	Description of Article.
24	<i>Official envelopes</i>
24	<i>Sheets foolscap.</i>

*Howell E. Brandon*  
Head of Department. *Jan 7 20. 1893*

Approved *Robert Taylor*  
GOVERNOR,

Received the above

Head of Department.

Stanley,

Any further communication on this subject should be addressed to—

THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY,  
FINANCE DEPARTMENT,  
BOARD OF TRADE,  
LONDON, S.W.

And the following letter and number should be quoted in the communication, and marked plainly on the *outside* of the envelope, viz. :—

F 9867

BOARD OF TRADE,

(Finance Department),

WHITEHALL GARDENS, 28<sup>th</sup> Nov<sup>r</sup> 1892.

*ackd  
28-1-93*

SIR,

With reference to your letter of the 12<sup>th</sup> ulto: , I am

directed by the Board of Trade to transmit herewith 2 book s containing

blank forms of

*Bills of Exchange*

numbered

*829 to 840 & 841 to 852 respectively.*

I am to request that the receipt of the book s may be acknowledged.

*[Signature]*

I am,

SIR,

Your obedient Servant,

INGRAM B. WALKER.

To

*The Colonial Secretary,*

*Stanley,*

*Faakland I<sup>s</sup>*

MINUTE PAPER.

COLONIAL SECRETARY to H. E. The Governor

Should it not be well to have the framing of the fence gates put in hand as soon as possible as I learn good progress has been made in the erecting of the fence.

It has been suggested however that a more advisable plan for the gate is to instead of placing such a large double gate as proposed in one place to separate them and place one gate some little distance from the other as there being so much traffic confined to one track it will in winter become almost a hog. I am ~~in~~ <sup>of the</sup> ~~formed~~ <sup>opinion</sup> that a small gate as proposed to be attached to the large one is not needed that the constant passing to & fro on such a limited bit of ground soon renders it useless.

I think the above suggestions are worthy of consideration and I think the cost of erecting the gates will be lessened rather than otherwise by the plan suggested

R. M. P. es. 25. 1. 93

C.S.

The stone wall has been built on one  
side where the gate is proposed to be placed and  
a place left for the gate - were the place  
suggested carried out - it would necessitate  
the cutting of the fence - w<sup>ch</sup> I am not  
prepared to sanction.

P.S. 25. 1. 93

MINUTE PAPER.

GOVERNOR to

*Chairman of Board of Health*

Referring to the minute of a meeting of the Board of Health held on the 9<sup>th</sup> January I find that a suggestion has been made that an order be made to purchase 1000 ft of what we know as "Jubilee Pipes" that the Government drain should be deepened and laid with "Large pipes with the sanction of the Governor the Government finding the pipes what Mr. Dean should find the labor" -

I regret that I cannot see why Govt. should be put to any expense in the matter - the existing Govt. drain is a good one and it has to be altered for any one's convenience it must be at their own expense -

*P. S. 25. 1. 93.*

Report of  
Minutes taken at a Meeting of the  
Board of Health of the Falkland Islands  
held on Monday the 9<sup>th</sup> January 1893.

Present: The Hon the Colonial Secretary  
I. J. Felton  
The Rev<sup>d</sup> P. J. O'Grady  
Mr James Smith  
The Inspector of Nuisances

In the absence of the President the Chair  
was taken by the Hon the Colonial Secretary.

The Clerk read the Minutes of the  
last Meeting which were confirmed.

In opening the business the Chairman  
said, regarding the letter referred to in  
the last Minutes which was ordered to be  
sent to Mrs Bonner's Solicitors, that Mrs  
Bonner had accelerated matters by her  
arrival here and had made an end  
of the Complaint by having the houses  
demolished.

The Chairman called the Members  
attention to some very foul drainage  
under the Jubilee Cottages that the said  
drains were mere wooden shoots and  
were more or less blocked up with mounds  
of sand and stagnant water and hoped  
that the Members would recommend  
some improvement now that the drain  
was open.

It was finally recommended that  
the

Take my minutes of Jan<sup>y</sup> 25<sup>th</sup> - 1893  
P. J. O'G.



The back drain running West to East should be made of Brick and Concrete along the whole length of the four Cottages having more fall than at present, and should lead into the Government drain running North to the Ross Road, that the said drain should be deepened and laid with large pipes with the sanction of the Governor, the Government funding the pipes while Mrs Deau should furnish the labour.

The Chairman said he would call the attention of the Board that the whole of the property to the south and adjoining the Jubilee Villas belonging to Mr Williams had not a drain on it and proposed to ask Mr Williams to have cut a surface drain from West to East to lead into the Government drain on the East side of the property.

The Inspector called attention to the want of some one to work on the drains, the Chairman said that Hewing in his agreement was supposed to do that work but thought that lately he had been otherwise employed.

The Inspector said it needed some one constantly at work on them to keep them in order and clean.

The Meeting then adjourned.

Wm. R. Pitt  
Colonial Secretary

14

care of  
Commercial Bank of Australia  
1 Bishopsgate Street within  
London

Replied  
No 27<sup>1</sup>/<sub>3</sub>  
29<sup>th</sup> October 1892

Dear Sir

A few months ago, I took  
the liberty of writing to you  
to enquire whether your  
Index of Will's Administration  
included any entries under  
the surname of

- (1) Blow (CLOW)
- (2) Bromar (CROMAR)
- (3) Forbes (FORBES)

As I have not heard  
from you, I suppose  
that probably your  
Index do not contain

any entries under these  
surnames

If that be your reason for  
not replying to my letter  
would it trouble you too  
much to kindly write to  
me, at your earliest convenience  
to that effect?

Should you very kindly  
answer this letter, you will  
confer a much appreciated  
favour upon

Your obedient humble  
servant

James Forbes

The Government Clerk or the  
Registrar of Supreme Court  
Falkland Islands