

C. S. O.

CUL/GEN/1#17

1 1 6 4 / A

1

1

6

4

/

A

(Formerly)

SUBJECT :

GARDENS.

Allotment gardens for Vegetables.

CONNECTED FILES.

NUMBER AND YEAR.

1164

Gardens.

1164/B

Cultivation of Water Cress.

EX. CO. MINUTES

1164/A
EQU

A.O.

There are I believe
a number of households
in Stanley whose gardens
are not large enough to
enable them to grow vegetables.
I wonder if "allotment gardens"
would catch on and if so
whether there is a suitable
site for the purpose adjacent
to the Town?

MUC 2/1/50

Y.E.

Yes. There are several people so placed.
Allotment gardens would most likely catch on if:
1. They were easy of access from Stanley.
2. They were on a site which had previously
been cultivated.

To encroach on the Paddocks around Government
House as far West as Sullivan House would be ill
advised. And other than a suitable lease
hold becoming vacant in the Davis St. Callaghan Rd.
area; the most suitable place would be to lease
a portion of the 60 acres after the road past there
has been resurfaced.

John P. O'Brien 4/1/50.
D.O.

7/1/50

Is not contemplated.

A.O.

Which were the sites cultivated by
the Dept. for vegetables?

MUC

2

Y.E. (on return).
H.C.S.

A.

These sites were cultivated by the Department
for Vegetables:-

Small Paddock to S. of Sullivan House.

The Monument Paddock.

West sides of Gov. Bungalows No 5 & 6

G. H. Lawn. & Clover Patch to West.

Douglas Station's town paddock.

Malvina Lawn.

Belmont Front.

Tree Paddock.

M. Robson's Paddock

Summer part garden.

Companies Paddock, now with Prefab on.

-- -- S. of East Jetty.

around room of Sacrifice.

1 acre at Agri Station now T. Goodwin's.

5 -- 0 at E. side Cove only potatoes

3 -- 0 in Sholto's Paddock

1 acre at Bottom of Harbour

& 3 acres in 60 acres only potatoes
with perhaps 1 or 2 other sites. ^{earlier on}
John P. Collins

80 10/1/50

19/1/50.

B

In none of the above suitable for

allowance -

NO 22/ii. 50

A.O.

C.

H.C.S.

The plots above easy of access that is in or near town are
all in private ownership & ∴ not suitable eg.

Malvina Lawn, Companies Paddock.

or near G. H. e.g. Tree Paddock or Clover Patch.

The only other round room of sacrifice is unsuitable; people
in the W. refused to eat Vegetables raised there.

Of the nearest of those further away, 1 acre at bottom of Harbour
has been tried by Messrs. Carey & Suxton this year & a miserable failure
even with potatoes & no frost.

I therefore am still unable to suggest any more likely place than part of 60

John P. Collins 22/ii/50
A.O.

A.

W.E.

2nd c submission, pl

H.C.S.
27/2/56

Thankya. E.
H.C. 27/ii

60 acre plot is how far away?

W.D.

C

10 acre pl.

H.C.S.
27/2/56

H.C.S. Noted Thank you.

John P. Blair 27/2/56
J.P.

H.C.S.
27/2/56

1164/7

File 4

cs.

The kumseigneur was complaining to me yesterday that he has nowhere to plant vegetables; M. hewansse, M. Huckle, and possibly others, are in the same boat.

2. When we can get round to it — and certainly when the roads are finished and access easier — AO

must plough up a suitable piece of land for allotments

3. Meanwhile I can see no reason why ~~vacant~~ vacant building plots on Curim land should not

5

be let to the gardeners at a
pepper-corn rental: it will improve
the ground for subsequent tenants.

4. AO & S/W to see please
and I would like to have a
statement of available plots for
this purpose.

mc. 7/...
VIII

B.W.F.

H.O.
S.O.

(5)

6

See consider & report

P
10/5

H.C.S.

No.

- I have consulted with S/W.
1. He considers the triangle paddock too near & open to the sea: salt spray would kill potatoes.
 2. The lower foot ball field would be suitable
 3. Alternatively, the school gardens at present do not produce much.
 4. The Plot West of the Catholic Church could be gardened but there is a project to build flats there.
 5. Also the plot East of Erneston's house at present used as a drying ground. (Rented to Erneston)
 6. One of the plots behind the Police Cottages is let to R. Hills, that is away from Government. It used to think to belong to Northwood's house. (he has very little garden)
 7. The piece West of the Battle Memorial was cultivated & would make 1 or 2 allotments but would require better fencing than the present low wall.

John P. Blair 10/10/53
A.O.

J.P.

(4)

See see (5). There do not appear to be very many possibilities but I will not know until I have had a chance to go round. 3) 5) + 6) look the best bet.

P
10/5

See.

Mc 24
viii

4/5

(4) - (6)

I had a look round with No. 1 & P.O. to-day and the following transpired:-

a) School gardens.

This appears only to be scratched about on & must produce little. One of the masters takes them for gardening & As's help has not been sought. No chance of an allotment here but school should pull their socks up.

Ses.

Qes.

b) Plot ~~terrace~~ ^{terrace} way below Booth & Hansen Köller, house ^{& garden} - encroached on by one or the other of them. This would make two small allotments & Northwood would be interested, or perhaps Ses.

c) Plot east of Ernestson's house rented by him as a drying ground - an application is already in from Mrs. Olsen to work this plot when Ernestson goes, though the F.A.S. tenant may be interested in a 1/2 acre plot.

Qes.

d) Plot behind Police cottages, used by 10 Hlls for hens but actually let to H. Bennett for hens. H. Bennett says that he has no further intention of keeping hens & he should be given notice to quit & plot reallocated?

Qes.

2) Otherwise there doesn't seem to be any more suitable plots & central plots in the town.

① ②

Notes: Thank you.
to the extension to the west of
Sullivan too far away?
Mc 18/18

17/9

8

OS

A

I understand that
 the Mission is haggling over
 the piece of ground we have
 given him and suggesting that the
 decepted old peat-sheet that he
 is giving us is worth £250 or
 some such. I am not
 disposed to discuss the matter
 further.

B
 for per note
 2/9/9

MC. 2A ix

Koufont

~~1/2~~ C
 HCS
 noted by All
 29/9

D
 one
 1/10 working
 2/10

copy of A filed in 0663/F.
 "New Studio."

as at 3 BU. 9/10.

Sw

(7)

9

The only plots which seem to be available are the two below Booth/Haenbollen house and I note that someone (Booth?) is already encroaching.

Please find out who it is and also advise me who is most deserving to work these plots.

They will have to be on a temporary basis since when we build on the first house on the School Gardens we will have to look elsewhere for the School.

W.A.

10

ACL I have offered a site to W Northwood and also asked who he thought wanted a plot. He refuses to have one and says he don't think anyone will have it on a temporary basis, for the reason that it will take a long time to get it in working order. This I agree with but I would like to know if there is a minimum period it could be let for.

W.A.

11/11/53

11

BV 18/11/53

Sw

~~you would be safe~~

I would say at least two years but am not prepared to give a guarantee - you should only let for a year at a time to anyone interested.

ACL

No one seems to want these plots. In my opinion its too late to dig these this year, so I will do whatever I see fit next season.

12

W.A.

W.A. 20/11/53

PMQ
W.A.

13

No. 77143

It is requested that, in any reference to this memorandum the above number and date should be quoted.



MEMORANDUM

9th November 1967

Superintendent of Education

Stanley

Headmaster, Stanley Schools,

Stanley, Falkland Islands.

SUBJECT:- School Garden - Fencing

The proposed plot to the north of Belmont House has been viewed and measured. The Registrar has affirmed that there is no impediment on the legal side.

May authority be sought for the following fences etc. to be erected.

1. A boundary fence 66 ft. long running east to west 60 ft. south of the boundary fence on Ross Road.
2. A wide gate with hasp and padlock suitable for allowing a vehicle through on the S.W. corner of the plot, opening on to Barrack Street.
3. A small gate for normal entrance, adjacent to the gate No. 2, also with hasp and padlock.
4. A partition fence running North to South dividing the plot into two halves; this fence to end short of the northern boundary by 7 ft.

Mr. Campbell and Mr. Clarke have been approached and neither has any objection to the scheme.

*C.S. would you approve please?
St. Yes. but you have approached S.P.W. 4/8. 10/11.*

S. A. Booth

S.P.W. Please.

~~23/11~~. 23/11.

16

17

The property under consideration is already adequately fenced against animals, and as fencing is such an expensive item; and the labourer fully employed on essential works.

Sir, is your fence really necessary?

18 24/11/67.

C.S.

To see please.

I am willing to compromise; leave it for the moment but could the job please be done during the winter so as to be in time for spring planting? 27/11.

✓ Sir. Speak. I can get around this. S. 28/11